Lismore City Council Rezoning Planning Proposal - 389 Keen Street, East Lismore

ATTACHMENT 1 - INFORMATION CHECKLIST

STEP 2: MATTERS - CONSIDERED ON A CASE BY CASE BASIS

0	STEP 1:	REQUIRED FOR ALL PROPOSALS
		(under s55(a) - (e) of the EP&A Act)

· Objectives and intended outcome

Land/site contamination (SEPP55)

- Mapping (including current and proposed zones)
- Community consultation (agencies to be consulted)
- · Explanation of provisions
- Justification and process for implementation (including compliance assessment against relevant section 117 direction/s)

(Depending on complex	ity of p	olanni	ng proposal and nature of issues)		T		
PLANNING MATTERS OR ISSUES	To be considered	N/A	PLANNING MATTERS OR ISSUES	To be considered	A/N		
Strategic Planning Context			Resources (including drinking water, minerals, oysters, agricultural lands,	П	1		
Demonstrated consistency with			fisheries, mining)				
relevant Regional Strategy • Demonstrated consistency with			Sea level rise				
relevant Sub-Regional strategy		V	Urban Design Considerations				
 Demonstrated consistency with or support for the outcomes and actions of relevant DG endorsed 	V		Existing site plan (buildings vegetation, roads, etc)		7		
local strategy • Demonstrated consistency with		1	Building mass/block diagram study (changes in building height and FSR)		3		
Threshold Sustainability Criteria			Lighting impact				
Aerial photographs	4		 Development yield analysis (potential yield of lots, houses, employment generation) 				
Site photos/photomontage			Economic Considerations				
Traffic and Transport Considerations		Control of	Economic impact assessment	П	П		
Local traffic and transport			Retail centres hierarchy				
• TMAP			Employment land		1		
Public transport			Social and Cultural Considerations				
Cycle and pedestrian movement			Heritage impact	1	F		
Environmental Considerations			Aboriginal archaeology	1			
Bushfire hazard	1		Open space management		7		
Acid Sulphate Soil			European archaeology	1			
Noise impact			Social & cultural impacts	Z			
Flora and/or fauna			Stakeholder engagement	1			
Soil stability, erosion, sediment, landslip assessment, and subsidence		1	Infrastructure Considerations		/		
Water quality			Infrastructure servicing and potential	1			
Stormwater management		1	funding arrangements	9 2 2			
• Flooding			Miscellaneous/Additional Considerations				

List any additional studies



Additional studies recommended include:	
- Preliminary Contominated Land Assessme	nt
- Land Use Conflict Risk Assessment	
- Bushfire Threat Assessment Report	
Reports already submitted: - Flora + famna assessment	
- Flora + fauna assessment	
- Aboriginal + European Cultural Heritage	
Assessment	
- Water gervicing assessment seport	

Attachment 4 - Evaluation criteria for the delegation of plan making functions

Name of draft LEP: REZONING PLANNING PROPOSAL - 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISTORE Address of Land (if applicable): 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISTORE Intent of draft LEP: Provide additional Zone RI Residential to enable falue residential subdivision Additional Supporting Points/Information:	Checklist for the review of a request for delegation of plan making functions to councils
Name of draft LEP: REZONING PLANNING PROPOSAL - 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISNORE Address of Land (if applicable): 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISMORE Intent of draft LEP: Provide additional Zone RI Residential to east to falue residential subdivision	Local Government Area:
REZONING PLANNING PROPOSAL - 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISMORE Address of Land (if applicable): 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISMORE Intent of draft LEP: Provide additional Zone RI Residential to eastle fature regidential subdivision	LISMORE
REZONING PLANNING PROPOSAL - 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISMORE Address of Land (if applicable): 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISMORE Intent of draft LEP: Provide additional Zone RI Residential to eastle fature regidential subdivision	
Address of Land (if applicable): 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISMORE Intent of draft LEP: Provide additional Zone R1 Residential to enable fature regidential subdivision	
Address of Land (if applicable): 389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISMORE Intent of draft LEP: Provide additional Zone R1 Residential to easle fature regidential subdivision	REZONING PLANNING PROPOSAL -
Intent of draft LEP: Provide additional Zone R1 Residential to easle fature residential subdivision	389 KEEN STREET, EAST LISMORE
Intent of draft LEP: Provide additional Zone R1 Residential to easle fature residential subdivision	Address of Land (if applicable):
Provide additional Zone R1 Residential to early fature residential subdivision	
to early fature regidential subdivision	Intent of draft LEP:
	Provide additional Zone R1 Residential
Additional Supporting Points/Information:	
	Additional Supporting Points/Information:



Attachments Lismore City Council
Rezoning Planning Proposal - 389 Keen Street, East Lismore

Evaluation criteria for the issuing of an Authorisation Council response Department assessment (NOTE - where the matter is identified as relevant and the requirement has not been met, council is attach information Not Not Y/N Agree to explain why the matter has not been addressed) relevant agree Is the planning proposal consistent with the Standard Instrument Order, 2006? Does the planning proposal contain an adequate explanation of the intent, objectives, and intended outcome of the proposed amendment? Are appropriate maps included to identify the location of the site and the intent of the amendment? Does the planning proposal contain details related to proposed consultation? Is the planning proposal compatible with an endorsed regional or sub-regional planning strategy or a local strategy endorsed by the Director-General? Does the planning proposal adequately address any consistency with all relevant S117 Planning Directions? Is the planning proposal consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)? **Minor Mapping Error Amendments** Y/N Does the planning proposal seek to address a minor mapping error and contain all appropriate maps that clearly identify the error and the manner in which the error will be addressed? **Heritage LEPs** Y/N Does the planning proposal seek to add or remove a local heritage item and is it supported by a strategy/study endorsed by the Heritage Office? Does the planning proposal include another form of endorsement or support from the Heritage Office if there is no supporting strategy/study? Does the planning proposal potentially impact on an item of State Heritage Significance and if so, have the views of the Heritage Office been obtained? Reclassifications Y/N Is there an associated spot rezoning with the reclassification? If yes to the above, is the rezoning consistent with an endorsed Plan of Management (POM) or strategy? Is the planning proposal proposed to rectify an anomaly in a Ν classification? Will the planning proposal be consistent with an adopted POM or other strategy related to the site? Will the draft LEP discharge any interests in public land under

section 30 of the Local Government Act, 1993?

	Y/N	NIA	
If so, has council identified all interests; whether any rights or interests will be extinguished; any trusts and covenants relevant to the site; and, included a copy of the title with the planning proposal?	·		
Has the council identified that it will exhibit the planning proposal in accordance with the department's Practice Note (PN 09-003) Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan and Best Practice Guideline for LEPs and Council Land?		/	
Has council acknowledged in its planning proposal that a Public Hearing will be required and agreed to hold one as part of its documentation?		/	
Spot Rezonings	Y/N		
Will the proposal result in a loss of development potential for the site (ie reduced FSR or building height) that is not supported by an endorsed strategy?	\checkmark		
Is the rezoning intended to address an anomaly that has been identified following the conversion of a principal LEP into a Standard Instrument LEP format?	N		
Will the planning proposal deal with a previously deferred matter in an existing LEP and if so, does it provide enough information to explain how the issue that lead to the deferral has been addressed?	~		
If yes, does the planning proposal contain sufficient documented justification to enable the matter to proceed?		/	
Does the planning proposal create an exception to a mapped development standard?	N		
Section 73A matters			
Does the proposed instrument			
a. correct an obvious error in the principal instrument consisting of a misdescription, the inconsistent numbering of provisions, a wrong cross-reference, a spelling error, a grammatical mistake, the insertion of obviously missing words, the removal of obviously unnecessary words or a formatting error?;			
b. address matters in the principal instrument that are of a consequential, transitional, machinery or other minor nature?; or			
c. deal with matters that do not warrant compliance with the conditions precedent for the making of the instrument because they will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjoining land?			
(NOTE - the Minister (or Delegate) will need to form an Opinion under section 73(A(1)(c) of the Act in order for a matter in this category to proceed).			2

NOTES

- Where a council responds 'yes' or can demonstrate that the matter is 'not relevant', in most cases, the planning proposal will routinely be delegated to council to finalise as a matter of local planning significance.
- Endorsed strategy means a regional strategy, sub-regional strategy, or any other local strategic planning document that is endorsed by the Director-General of the department.